

Gene Therapy INDs: Deficiencies and Recommendations

American Society of Gene & Cell Therapy (ASGCT) Liaison Meeting

November 18, 2019

FDA / CBER Office of Tissues and Advanced Therapies (OTAT)

CMC Notes:



Common CMC IND deficiencies

- Incomplete information regarding:
 - Quality of the materials used to make the product
 - Manufacturing process development (e.g., no process development runs)
 - Safety, quality and stability testing (e.g., inappropriate testing, sampling)
 - Cross referenced information (e.g., wrong cross ref, cross ref is deficient)
 - Manufacturing facility, QA/QC, shipping
- Poorly organized submissions
- Lack of alignment of CMC development with clinical timeline
- Inadequate comparability plans

Recommendations for Improvement

- Plan ahead, communicate with FDA, follow FDA guidance
- Organize submissions according to eCTD
- Resolve CMC issues early in product development
- Identify CQAs and validate assays early crucial for establishing comparability



Pharm/Tox Notes:

Common Pharm/Tox deficiencies

- Preclinical testing program not comprehensive enough
- Differences between the preclinical and clinical product
- Inadequate preclinical study designs
- Study conduct issues
- Safety concerns based on toxicity profile
- Insufficient data to establish prospect of direct benefit for pediatric subjects

Recommendations

- Early communication with FDA
- Read the guidance *Preclinical Assessment of Investigational Cellular and Gene Therapy Products* (Nov 2013)
- Use biologically relevant test systems
- Use available tools (e.g., *in silico, in vitro, in vivo,* etc.) to thoroughly assess safety
- Conduct toxicology studies in accordance with Good Laboratory Practice (GLP)
- Submit complete study reports



Clinical Notes: Efficient Development

- In the setting of rare diseases, have as robust natural history data as early as possible – collaborate pre-competitively
- Even the first-in-human study:
 - Design as randomized, concurrent controlled trial, blinded if possible; otherwise blinded evaluators to reduce bias
 - Evaluate effects on clinically meaningful endpoints (in addition to safety and biomarkers)
- Get early buy-in from patient groups, FDA and other regulatory agencies







Acknowledgements

- Rachael Anatol, PhD
- Denise Gavin, PhD
- Ilan Irony, MD
- Mercedes Serabian
- Anna Kwilas, PhD
- Iwen Wu<u>, PhD</u>









Contact Information

Wilson W. Bryan, M.D. wilson.bryan@fda.hhs.gov



Contact Information



• Regulatory Questions:

OTAT Main Line – 240 402 8190 Email: <u>OTATRPMS@fda.hhs.gov</u> and Lori.Tull@fda.hhs.gov

• OTAT Learn Webinar Series:

http://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/NewsEvents/ucon22228221.htm

- **CBER website:** <u>www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/default.htm</u>
- Phone: 1-800-835-4709 or 240-402-8010
- Consumer Affairs Branch: <u>ocod@fda.hhs.gov</u>
- Manufacturers Assistance and Technical Training Branch: industry.biologics@fda.hhs.gov
- Follow us on Twitter: <u>https://www.twitter.com/fdacber</u>





Gene Therapy INDs: Deficiencies and Recommendations

American Society of Gene & Cell Therapy (ASGCT) Liaison Meeting

November 18, 2019

FDA / CBER Office of Tissues and Advanced Therapies (OTAT)